ortion of it. But he is not to be reinstated and the claimant got other job 4-5 months after removal. The exact date of his employment in other industry (Ti-wac) is not known. However the claimant stated that he got other employment 4-5 months after removal. Hence, I think it proper that he should be awarded 4 months salary during which period he remained unemployed and that would constitute sufficient compensation. Hence my AWARD.

AWARD

It is held that the termination of the services of the claimant is illegal. However since the claimant does not press for reinstatement, I do not pass an order of his reinstatement. However the Respondent Management will pay to the claimant worker Rs. 280 as compensation for wrongful termination of his services. There will be no orders as to costs of this proceeding.

W. K. KULKARNI,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Hubli.

255

ORDER

Bangalore, dated 3rd May 1971.

S. O. 1007.—WHEREAS by State Government Order No. FCL 537 LLD 70, dated 14th October 1970, the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of Bharat Printing Press, Chandrasadan, Station Road, Dharwar was referred to the Labour Court, Hubli for adjudication.

AND WHEREAS the Labour Court has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government of Mysore are pleased to direct the publication of the said Award as above, in the *Mysore Gazette*.

[No. FCL 51 LLA 71.]

By Order and in the name of the President of India,

ANIRUDHA DESAI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food, Civil Supplies and
Labour Department

255